

Remote substituent effects on regioselectivity in the Pauson–Khand reaction of 2-substituted norbornenes

Peter Mayo and William Tam*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Guelph-Waterloo Centre for Graduate Work in Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada N1G 2W1

Received 13 March 2001; accepted 17 May 2001

Abstract—The effect of a remote substituent on the regioselectivity in the Pauson–Khand reaction of 2-substituted norbornenes has been investigated. Moderate level of regioselectivity was observed and the regioselectivity increases as the electron-withdrawing power of the remote substituent increases. *exo* Substituents at C-2 of norbornenes generally gave a higher regioselectivity than the *endo* substituents. © 2001 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The study on remote stereoelectronic effects in controlling the regio- and stereoselectivities on nucleophilic and electrophilic additions to π -bonds has attracted considerable interest.^{1,2} The most common systems for these studies are 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene derivatives, ^{3–8} 7-norbornanones ^{9–11} and 7-methylenenorbornanes. ^{12,13} Less attention has been paid to remote substituent effects on the 2-substituted norbornene system. 14-17 We have recently studied the effect of a remote substituent on the regioselectivity of oxymercuration of 2-substituted norbornenes. 16 Moderate to high levels of regioselectivity were observed with both exo- and endo-substituents at C-2 of norbornenes (Scheme 1). Examples of remote substituent effects on transition metal-catalyzed cycloadditions are rare in the literature. 7,17-19 We have recently reported the first examples of a remote substituent effect on the regioselectivity of the ruthenium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloadditions of 2-substituted norbornenes.¹⁷ Although our study showed only moderate regioselectivity (1.5:1 to 7.5:1) with various C₂-substituents on norbornene (Scheme 2), it was the first study of this kind and provided important information on long-range electronic effect on Ru-catalyzed [2+2] cycloadditions. Schore and co-workers have reported two isolated examples of remote substituent effects on the regioselectivity of the Co-mediated Pauson-Khand reaction of 2-substituted norbornenes (with X=Y=O, ketone 1k; and X=H, Y=OH, endo alcohol 1f) and moderate regioselectivities were observed (Scheme 3).18 As only two different substituents (ketone and *endo* alcohol) were tested in Schore's study, and we noticed from our study on the Ru-catalyzed [2+2] cycloadditions that usually *exo* substituents show stronger remote substituent effects on the regioslectivities in metal-catalyzed cycloadditions, we decided to study the regioselectivity of the Co-mediated Pauson–Khand reaction

Scheme 1. Remote substituent effect on the oxymercuration of 2-substituted norbornenes.

Scheme 2. Ruthenium-catalyzed [2+2] cycloadditions of 2-substituted norbornenes.

Scheme 3. Literature examples of co-catalyzed Pauson–Khand reactions of 2-substituted norbornenes.

Keywords: Pauson-Khand reaction; cycloadditions; transition metal-catalyzed reactions; remote substituent effects; stereoelectronic effects; regioselectivity; norbornenes.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-519-824-4120, ext. 2268; fax: +1-519-766-1499; e-mail: tam@chembio.uoguelph.ca

Table 1. Effect of an activator in the Pauson-Khand reactions

Entry	Activator	Solvent	Temperature (°C)	R=H		R=COOEt	
				Yield (%) ^a	8e/9e ^b	Yield (%) ^a	10e/11e ^b
1	None	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	80	_	_	88	52:48
2	Me ₃ NO	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	25	48	50:50	60	62:38
3	CyNH ₂	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	80^{c}	29	57:43	77	66:34
4	Me_2S	ClCH ₂ CH ₂ Cl	25	27	50:50	40^{d}	67:33

^a Isolated yields of pure products after column chromatography.

b Measured by intergration on 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures.

^c No reaction was observed at lower temperature.

of 2-substituted norbornenes with a broader range of *exo* and *endo* substituents.

2. Results and discussion

In an initial experiment, exo-2-substituted norbornene 1e (X=OAc) reacted with the Co-alkyne complex of alkyne 4 (R=COOEt) in 1,2-dichloroethane at 80°C to provide a mixture of regioisomers 10e and 11e in a 52:48 ratio with a combined yield 88% (Table 1, entry 1). As recent development of the Pauson–Khand reaction 20 has shown that both the rate of the reaction and regio- and stereoselectivities could be improved by addition of certain 'activators' such as amine *N*-oxides, ²¹ amines, ²² and alkyl methyl sulfides, ²³ we decided to look at the effect of the addition of trimethylamine N-oxide, cyclohexylamine and dimethyl sulfide in the Co₂(CO)₈ mediated Pauson-Khand reaction between exo-2substituted norbornene 1e (X=OAc) and alkyne 4 or 7 (Table 1). With alkyne 4 (R=COOEt), the regioselectivity improved slightly when an activator was used (Table 1, entries 2-4). Although the use of dimethyl sulfide gave the highest regioselectivity (67:33), the rate of this reaction was very slow and the reaction took 11 days to go to completion. On the other hand, the use of cyclohexylamine gave a similar regioselectivity (66:34) with a much better yield and faster reaction time. With terminal alkyne 7, (R=H) both the yields and the regioselectivities were lower regardless of which activator was used.

In order to study the remote substitutent effect on the Pauson–Khand reaction with various C₂-substituted norbornenes, exo- and endo-2-substituted norbornenes 1a-k were prepared. 16 Table 2 shows the results of the Pauson-Khand reaction between various exo- and endo-2-substituted norbornenes 1a-k with alkyne 4, using cyclohexylamine as an activator in the Co2(CO)8-mediated Pauson-Khand reaction. The yields of these reactions ranged from moderate to good. Although the regioselectivities were only moderate (50:50 to 74:26), several important trends were observed in this study. First, in all cases, the exosubstituents showed a stronger remote effect than the corresponding endo-substituents. For example, exo-OBnnorbornene 1c gave a regioselectivity of 62:38 (Table 2, entry 3), whereas the corresponding reaction of endo-OBn-norbornene **1h** was non-selective (50:50, Table 2, entry 8). A similar trend was observed in our previous study on the Ru-catalyzed [2+2] cycloadditions of

Table 2. Effect of a remote C2-substituent on the regioselectivity of the Pauson-Khand reaction of 2-substituted norbornenes

Entry	1	exc	exo-Substituents (Y=H)		Entry	1	endo-Su		
		X	Yield (%) ^a	10/11 ^b			Y	Yield (%) ^a	10/11 ^b
1	1a	ОН	51	55:45	6	1f	ОН	71	50:50
2	1b	OTBS	61	58:42	7	1g	OTBS	80	55:45
3	1c	OBn	66	62:38	8	1h	OBn	71	50:50
4	1d	OMEM	71	63:37	9	1i	OMEM	81	50:50
5	1e	OAc	74	66:34	10	1j	OAc	73	50:50
					11	1k	X=Y=O (ketone)	43	74:26

^a Isolated yields of pure products after column chromatography.

^d Took 11 days for the reaction to go to completion, and higher temperature did not improve the rate or the yield of the reaction.

b Measured by intergration on 400 MHz ¹H NMR spectra of the crude reaction mixtures.

Scheme 4. Explanation on the regiochemistry of the Pauson-Khand reaction of 2-substituented norbornenes.

2-substituted norbornenes. ¹⁷ Secondly, the regioselectivity of the Pauson–Khand [2+2+1] cycloadditions of *exo-2*-substituted norbornenes followed the same trend that we observed in the Ru-catalyzed [2+2] cycloadditions, that is: X=OTBS (58:42)<OBn (62:38)<OAc (66:34)<=0 (74:26). 2-Norbornenone **1k** showed the strongest remote substituent effect and the highest regioselectivity in both the Pauson–Khand [2+2+1] cycloaddition and Ru-catalyzed [2+2] cycloaddition.

The regiochemistry of the Pauson–Khand reaction has been interpreted on the basis of a combination of electronic and steric factors during the insertion of the alkene component into the C–Co bond of the Co–alkyne complex. ^{18,20} According to some of our previous theoretical calculations on some 2-substituted norbornenes (see preceding paper), C₆ of 2-substituted **1** is always more 'negative' than C₅ (Scheme 4). In the Co–alkyne complex **12**, the C–Co is polarized in such a way that the C is δ – and the Co is δ + (electronegativity: C=2.5 and Co=1.7). Thus, naturally the

Table 3. Theoretical analysis of the difference in charges between C_5 and C_6 of some the 2-substituted norbornenes

Norbonene	Difference in charges between C ₅ and C ₆	Observed regioselectivity
1a (X=OH, Y=H)	0.003	55:45
$1c (X=OCH_2Ph, Y=H)$	0.007^{a}	62:38
1e (X=OAc, Y=H)	0.015	66:34
1k (X=Y=O, ketone)	0.003	74:26

^a X=OCH₃ was used to in the calculation to model the experimentally used OCH₂Ph.

preferred orientation of addition of the Co–alkyne complex 12 to 2-substituted norbornene 1 should occur with the more negative carbon of the alkene (C_6) attached to the $\delta+$ Co and the less negative carbon of the alkene (C_5) attached to the δC_a to give complex 13. CO insertion followed by reductive elimination of complex 13 would give the major isomer 10.

In order to explain the regioselectivity trend in the Pauson– Khand reaction of 2-substituted norbornenes 1 with alkyne 4, we have performed theoretical calculations on some of the norbornenes using the GAUSSIAN 98 suite of programs (Table 3). 24,25 Natural population analysis shows that the charges on the C₅ and C₆ atoms of the 2-substituted norbornenes 1 are slightly different. One would expect the greater the difference in the charges between C₅ and C₆ in 1, the higher the regioselectivity. As shown in Table 3, when the substituent X changes from OH to OCH₂Ph to OAc, the difference in charge between C₅ and C₆ increases from 0.003 to 0.007 and to 0.015 and this follows the trend that we observed in the regioselectivity in the Pauson-Khand reaction. For the norbornene 1k in which the highest regioselectivity (74:26) was observed in the Pauson-Khand reaction, we expect the difference in the charges between C₅ and C₆ would be greater than 0.015. But in fact the charge difference is only 0.003. We believe that norbornene 1k is a special case and its regioselectivity in the Pauson-Khand reaction not only depends on the through-bond inductive effect of the remote substituent (as in all other cases), the regioselectivity is also controlled by a through-space orbital interaction. This through-space orbital interaction in controlling regioselectivity in addition reaction of bicyclic alkenes have been observed by Danishesky and co-workers (Scheme 5).²⁶ Hydroboration–oxidation of bicyclic alkene 19 lead to the formation of regiosomers 20 and 21 in a 60:40 ratio. When the C_2 – C_3 σ bond is replaced by a π bond (bicyclic alkene 22), the regioselectivity of the hydroboration increased dramatically to 94:6. Danishesky

Scheme 5. Example of a through-space orbital interaction in controlling regioslectivity in addition reaction of bicyclic alkene system.

explained these results by the 'homoallyl' effect of the through-space orbital interaction (25, Scheme 5). A similar through-space orbital interaction in norbornene 1k may also by effective (Scheme 6) which make C_6 a lot more 'negative' than C_5 and this accounts for the highest regioselectivity in the Pauson–Khand reaction.

The regiochemistry and stereochemistry of the cycloadducts were determined by various NMR techniques. The *exo* stereochemistry of the cycloadducts was proven by the coupling pattern of H^b and H^c in the ¹H NMR spectra (Fig. 1). For example, in **10b**, as the dihedral angles between H^b and H^d, and H^e and H^e in the *exo* cycloadducts are close to 90°, their coupling constants would be very small (*J*~0–2 Hz). For *endo* cycloadducts, the corresponding dihedral angles are approximately 42° and would give coupling constants of ~5 Hz.²⁷ In all of our cycloadducts, both H^b and H^c are doublets (coupled only with each other but not with H^d or H^e), therefore all the cycloadducts must possess *exo* stereochemistry.²⁸ Using a combination of several NMR techniques: HCOSY, HSQC and HMBC,²⁹ all the protons of

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Scheme 6. Through-space orbital interaction in norbornene $1k$. \end{tabular}$

Figure 1. Determination of regiochemistry.

the major and minor regioisomers were well-characterized. The regiochemistry of the cycloadducts was then determined by NMR GOESY experiments (a gradient NOE experiment).³⁰ For example, in the major regioisomer **10b**, H^a showed +ve NOE effect with H^b and H^d but not with H^c or H^e whereas for the minor isomer **11b**, H^a showed +ve NOE effect with H^c and H^d but not with H^b or H^e.

3. Conclusions

We have investigated the remote substituent effect of the Pauson–Khand reaction of 2-substituted norbornenes. *exo* Substituents always showed a stronger remote effect than the corresponding *endo* substituents. The stronger the electron withdrawing power of the remote substituent, the greater the remote substituent effect. Although the regioselectivities were only moderate, this study provides important information about long-range electronic effects on transition metal-catalyzed cycloadditions.

4. Experimental

4.1. General information

All reactions were carried out in an atmosphere of dry nitrogen at ambient temperature unless otherwise stated. Standard column chromatography was performed on 230-400 mesh silica gel (obtained from Silicycle) by use of flash column chromatography techniques.³¹ Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was conducted on Merck precoated silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ plates. All glassware was flame dried under an inert atmosphere of dry nitrogen. Infrared spectra were taken on a Bomem MB-100 FTIR spectrophotometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker-400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts for ¹H NMR spectra are reported in parts per million (ppm) from tetramethylsilane with the solvent resonance as the internal standard (chloroform: δ 7.26). Chemical shifts for ¹³C NMR spectra are reported in parts per million (ppm) from tetramethylsilane with the solvent as the internal standard (deuterochloroform: δ 77.0). High resolution mass spectra were done by McMaster Regional Centre for Mass Spectrometry at McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario. Elemental analyses were performed by Canadian Microanalytical Service, British Columbia or by Quantitative Technologies, New Jersey.

4.2. Materials

Unless stated otherwise, commercial reagents were used without purification. $Co_2(CO)_8$ was purchased from Strem Chemicals and was stored in a inert atmosphere dry box. THF was purified by distillation from potassium/benzophenone under dry nitrogen. 1,2-Dichloroethane and cyclohexylamine were purified by distillation from 4 Å molecular sieves under dry nitrogen. Norbornenes 1a-1c, 1e-1h, 1j and 1k, and alkyne 4 were prepared according to literature procedure. 16.32

4.2.1. 2-exo-Methoxyethoxymethoxybicyclo[2.2.1]hept- 5-ene (norbornene 1d). MEMCl (3.90 mL, 34.2 mmol)

was added to a flame-dried flask containing 2-exo-norbornenol **1a** (2.43 g, 22.1 mmol) and diisopropylethylamine (5.9 mL, 33.9 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 d at room temperature. After quenching with water (50 mL), the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water ($2\times50 \text{ mL}$), brine (50 mL), and dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes=1:19) to give **1d** (2.97 g, 15.0 mmol, 68%) as a clear, transparent liquid. $R_{\rm f}$ 0.49 (EtOAc/ hexanes=1:4); IR (neat) 3062 (m), 2973 (s), 2940 (s), 2882 (s), 2817 (m), 1465 (m), 1362 (m), 1332 (m), 1280 (w), 1240 (m), 1185 (m), 1120 (s), 1050 (s), 1023 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 6.15 (dd, 1H, J=5.7, 2.8 Hz), 5.90 (dd, 1H, J=5.7, 3.2 Hz), 4.78 (d_{AB}, 1H, J=7.0 Hz), $4.74 (d_{AB}, 1H, J=7.0 Hz), 3.76-3.65 (m, 3H), 3.55 (t, 2H, 2H, 3.74 to 3.75 to 3.7$ J=4.6 Hz), 3.38 (s, 3H), 2.86 (s, 1H), 2.78 (s, 1H), 1.65 (d, 1H, J=8.3 Hz), 1.57 (ddd, 1H, J=12.0, 6.9, 2.6 Hz), 1.53 (ddd, 1H, J=8.3, 2.5, 1.6 Hz), 1.35 (ddd, 1H, J=12.0, 3.3,2.4 Hz); ¹³C NMR (APT, CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 140.6, 133.1, 94.6, 77.9, 71.7, 66.8, 59.0, 47.2, 45.9, 40.3, 34.6. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₈O₃: C, 66.64; H, 9.15. Found C, 66.38; H, 9.22.

4.2.2. 2-endo-Methoxyethoxymethoxybicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene (norbornene 1i). MEMCl (1.20 mL, 10.5 mmol) was added to a flame-dried flask containing 2-endo-norbornenol **1f** (791 mg, 7.18 mmol) and disopropylethylamine (1.9 mL, 10.9 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 d at room temperature. After quenching with water (15 mL), the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3× 15 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water ($2\times20 \text{ mL}$), brine (20 mL), and dried (MgSO₄). The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/ hexanes=1:9) to give **1i** (815 mg, 4.11 mmol, 57%) as a clear, transparent liquid. R_f 0.43 (EtOAc/hexanes=1:4); IR (neat) 3062 (w), 2971 (s), 2938 (s), 2881 (s), 2817 (m), 1724 (w), 1630 (w), 1573 (w), 1456 (m), 1364 (m), 1256 (m), 1195 (m), 1175 (s), 1124 (s), 1101 (s), 1050 (s), 1025 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 6.31 (dd, 1H, J=5.4, 3.0 Hz), 5.99 (dd, 1H, J=5.4 3.0 Hz), 4.72 (d_{AB}, 1H, J= 6.9 Hz), 4.68 (d_{AB} , 1H, J=6.9 Hz), 4.42 (m, 1H), 3.72-3.61 (m, 2H), 3.56 (d, 1H, J=4.5 Hz), 3.55 (d, 1H, J= 4.5 Hz), 3.39 (s, 3H), 3.06 (d, 1H, J=0.6 Hz), 2.77 (d, 1H, J=0.6 Hz)J=0.5 Hz), 2.01 (ddd, 1H, J=12.0, 8.1, 3.8 Hz), 1.41 (ddd, 1H, J=8.4, 3.4, 1.8 Hz), 1.23 (d, 1H, J=8.6 Hz), 0.89 (dt, 1H, J=12.1, 3.3 Hz); ¹³C NMR (APT, CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 138.0, 131.6, 94.6, 77.5, 71.7, 66.8, 58.9, 47.3, 46.0, 42.1, 34.3. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₈O₃: C, 66.64; H, 9.15. Found C, 66.97; H, 9.01.

4.2.3. Pauson–Khand reaction of norbornene 1e with phenylacetylene 7 (Table 1, entry 3). Phenylacetylene $(30.0 \,\mu\text{L}, \, 0.273 \,\text{mmol})$ was added to a flame-dried vial containing $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ (89.1 mg, 0.261 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (2 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. A solution of norbornene 1e $(37.3 \,\text{mg}, \, 0.245 \,\text{mmol})$ in 1,2-dichloroethane $(0.5 \,\text{mL})$ was then added to the reaction mixture via a cannula and

rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). Cyclohexylamine (0.11 mL, 0.962 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80°C for 17 h. The solvent was removed by rotary evaporation, then the crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes= 1:4) to give an inseparable mixture of **8e** and **9e** (19.9 mg, 0.0705 mmol, 29%, **8e/9e**=57:43 measured by 400 MHz ¹H NMR) as a light orange solid.

8-exo-Acetoxy-4-phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3one (8e) and 9-exo-acetoxy-4-phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]**dec-4-ene-3-one** (9e). R_f 0.33 (EtOAc/hexanes=1:4); IR (neat) 3055 (m), 2966 (s), 2857 (m,), 1738 (s), 1699 (s), 1656 (m), 1494 (s), 1447 (s), 1378 (s), 1324 (m), 1296 (s), 1244 (s), 1189 (m), 1163 (s), 1133 (m), 1056 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.69 (d, 2H, J=7.7 Hz), 7.64 (d, 0.57H, J=2.9 Hz), 7.62 (d, 0.43H, J=3.0 Hz), 7.40-7.30(m, 3H), 4.79 (d, 0.43H, J=7.2Hz), 4.76 (d, 0.57H, J=6.6 Hz), 2.76 (dd, 0.43H, J=4.2, 3.6 Hz), 2.71 (dd, 0.57H, J=4.4, 3.2 Hz), 2.58 (s, 1H), 2.40–2.33 (m, 2H), 2.05 (s, 1.29H), 2.04 (s, 1.71H), 1.97 (ddd, 0.57H, J=13.6, 6.8, 2.2 Hz), 1.90 (ddd, 0.43H, J=13.2, 6.7, 2.0 Hz), 1.64– 1.57 (m, 1H), 1.40 (tm, 1H, J=11.4 Hz), 1.14 (tm, 1H, J=12.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (APT, CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ major isomer 8e: 207.2, 170.6, 159.3, 146.7, 131.1, 128.65, 128.4, 127.1, 75.7, 50.1, 46.9, 44.1, 39.5, 37.5, 28.5, 21.2; minor isomer 9e: 207.7, 170.8, 158.2, 148.2, 132.7, 128.68, 128.4, 127.1, 76.8, 53.9, 43.7, 43.1, 38.23, 38.20, 28.5, 21.3. Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₈O₃: C, 76.57; H, 6.43. Found C, 75.73; H, 6.66.

4.2.4. Pauson-Khand reaction of norbornene 1a with acetylene 4 (Table 2, entry 1). A solution of acetylene 4 (43.7 mg, 0.251 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was added to a flame-dried vial containing Co₂(CO)₈ (88.7 mg, 0.259 mmol) via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane $(2\times0.25 \text{ mL})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. A solution of norbornene 1a (25.5 mg, 0.231 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). Cyclohexylamine (0.11 mL, 0.962 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80°C for 17 h. After quenching with Et₂O (20 mL), the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes=1:1) to give an inseparable mixture of 10a and 11a (36.8 mg, 0.118 mmol, 51%, **10a/11a**=55:45 measured by 400 MHz ¹H NMR) as a clear, transparent liquid.

5-(Ethoxycarbonyl)-8-*exo***-hydroxy-4-phenyltricyclo-**[**5.2.1.0**^{2,6}]**dec-4-ene-3-one** (**10a**) and **5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-9-***exo***-hydroxy-4-phenyltricyclo-**[**5.2.1.0**^{2,6}]**dec-4-ene-3-one** (**11a**). $R_{\rm f}$ 0.24 (EtOAc/hexanes=2:3); IR (neat, NaCl) 3419 (br. s), 2967 (m), 2251 (w), 1699 (m), 1635 (m), 1446 (w), 1374 (m), 1345 (m), 1234 (m), 1172 (m), 1065 (m), 1019 (w) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.38–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 2H), 4.26–4.16 (m, 2H), 4.05 (d, 0.45H, J=6.1 Hz), 3.98 (d, 0.55H, J=6.3 Hz), 2.92 (d, 1H, J=6.3 Hz), 2.60 (d, 0.45H, J=4.2 Hz), 2.54 (d, 0.55H, J=4.2 Hz), 2.45 (s, 1H), 2.33 (d, 0.45H, J=3.6 Hz), 2.32 (d, 0.55H, J=4.7 Hz), 1.91 (ddd, 0.55H, J=13.4, 6.8, 2.4 Hz), 1.86 (ddd, 0.45H, J=13.3, 6.9, 2.4 Hz), 1.68–1.52

(m, 3H), 1.47 (ddd, 0.45H, J=13.3, 4.1, 2.4 Hz), 1.17 (m, 0.55H), 1.144 (t, 1.65H, J=6.9 Hz), 1.141 (t, 1.35H, J=7.1 Hz); ¹³C NMR (APT, CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ major isomer **10a**: 208.0, 165.92, 158.5, 148.36, 130.0, 128.8, 128.7, 127.93, 73.2, 61.44, 49.6, 48.1, 47.5, 41.2, 37.4, 27.7, 13.8; minor isomer **11a**: 208.0, 165.89, 157.4, 148.39, 130.1, 128.8, 128.7, 127.91, 74.4, 61.40, 53.0, 46.6, 44.5, 40.9, 38.9, 28.1, 13.8. HRMS calcd. for $C_{19}H_{20}O_4$: m/z 312.1362, found m/z 312.1332.

4.2.5. Pauson-Khand reaction of norbornene 1b with acetylene 4 (Table 2, entry 2). A solution of acetylene 4 (41.8 mg, 0.240 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was added to a flame-dried vial containing Co₂(CO)₈ (88.9 mg, 0.260 mmol) via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. A solution of norbornene 1b (53.4 mg, 0.238 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). Cyclohexylamine (0.11 mL, 0.962 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80°C for 22 h. After quenching with Et₂O (20 mL), the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/ hexanes=1:9) to give an inseparable mixture of 10b and (62.3 mg, 0.146 mmol, 61%, **10b/11b**=58:42 measured by 400 MHz ¹H NMR) as a light orange, transparent liquid.

5-(Ethoxycarbonyl)-8-exo-(tert-butyldimethylsilyoxy)-4phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3-one (10b) and 5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-9-exo-(tert-butyldimethylsilyoxy)-4phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3-one (11b). R_f 0.44 (EtOAc/hexanes=1:9); IR (neat, NaCl) 3058 (w), 2956 (s), 2931 (s), 2886 (m), 2857 (s), 1721 (s), 1495 (w), 1472 (m), 1446 (m), 1390 (w), 1373 (m), 1345 (w), 1252 (s), 1172 (s), 1155 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.38–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 2H), 4.26–4.14 (m, 2H), 3.93 (d, 0.42H, J=6.4 Hz), 3.85 (d, 0.58H, J=6.3 Hz), 2.89 (d, 0.58H, J=5.5 Hz), 2.87 (d, 0.42H, J=5.5 Hz), 2.55 (d, 0.42H, J=4.3 Hz), 2.47 (d, 0.58H, J=4.4 Hz), 2.38 (s, 0.58H), 2.36 (s, 0.42H), 2.30 (d, 0.42H, J=5.6 Hz), 2.28 (d, 0.58H, J=5.4 Hz), 1.80 (m, 1H), 1.56-1.51 (m, 1.58H), 1.47 (ddd, 0.42H, J=13.0, 4.4, 2.3 Hz), 1.17 (t, 1.26H, J=7.2 Hz), 1.14 (t, 1.74H, J=7.2 Hz), 1.08 (m, 1H), 0.89 (s, 3.78H), 0.88 (s, 5.22H), 0.084 (s, 1.26H), 0.078 (s, 1.26H), 0.070 (s, 1.74H), 0.06 (s, 1.74H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ major isomer **10b**: 208.1, 166.1, 158.6, 148.7, 130.20, 128.81, 128.7, 127.95, 73.6, 61.4, 49.7, 48.4, 47.6, 42.5, 37.2, 27.8, 25.80, 17.99, 13.8, -4.6(2); minor isomer **11b**: 208.3, 165.7, 157.4, 148.3, 130.17, 128.77, 128.7, 127.91, 74.9, 61.4, 53.4, 46.8, 44.6, 41.9, 38.8, 28.1, 25.83, 18.04, 13.9, -4.71, -4.72. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₃₄O₄Si: C, 70.38; H, 8.03. Found C, 70.41; H, 8.04.

4.2.6. Pauson–Khand reaction of norbornene 1c with acetylene 4 (Table 2, entry 3). A solution of acetylene 4 (42.0 mg, 0.241 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was added to a flame-dried vial containing $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ (89.3 mg, 0.261 mmol) via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at

room temperature for 30 min. A solution of norbornene 1c (49.0 mg, 0.245 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). Cyclohexylamine (0.11 mL, 0.962 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80° C for 20 h. After quenching with Et_2O (20 mL), the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes=1:4) to give an inseparable mixture of 10c and 11c (64.2 mg, 0.159 mmol, 66%, 10c/11c=62:38 measured by 400 MHz 1 H NMR) as a clear, transparent liquid.

8-exo-(Benzyloxy)-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-4-phenyltricyclo- $[5.2.1.0^{2.6}]$ dec-4-ene-3-one (10c) and 9-exo-(benzyloxy)-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-4-phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-**3-one** (11c). R_f 0.49 (EtOAc/hexanes=1:4); IR (neat, NaCl) 3033 (w), 2972 (m), 2938 (m), 2882 (m), 2251 (w), 1705 (s), 1496 (m), 1465 (m), 1446 (m), 1373 (m), 1348 (m), 1233 (m), 1173 (s), 1075 (m), 1027 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) $\delta 7.40-7.27 \text{ (m, 10H)}$, 4.61-4.48 (m, 2H), 4.27-4.16 (m, 2H), 3.74 (d, 0.38H, *J*=5.8 Hz), 3.66 (d, 0.62H, J=6.4 Hz), 2.94 (d, 0.62H, J=5.4 Hz), 2.90 (d, 0.38H, J=5.5 Hz), 2.75 (s, 0.62H), 2.69 (s, 0.38H), 2.61 (d, 0.38H, J=3.9 Hz), 2.54 (d, 0.62H, J=4.3 Hz), 2.35 (d, 0.38H, J=5.4 Hz), 2.29 (d, 0.62H, J=5.4 Hz), 1.86 (ddd, 0.62H, J=13.4, 6.8, 2.0 Hz), 1.81 (ddd, 0.38H, J=13.1, 6.8, 2.0 Hz), 1.71 (ddd, 0.62H, *J*=13.3, 4.2, 2.5 Hz), 1.64 (ddd, 0.38H, *J*=13.3, 3.6, 3.3 Hz), 1.58 (s, 0.38H), 1.55 (s, 0.62H), 1.20–1.13 (m, 4H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ major isomer 10c: 208.0, 165.94, 158.5, 148.3, 138.4, 130.07, 128.70, 128.68, 128.4, 127.94, 127.54, 127.51, 80.3, 70.8, 61.4, 49.7, 48.5, 43.5, 39.1, 37.1, 28.2, 13.8; minor isomer 11c: 207.9, 165.88, 157.4, 148.4, 138.4, 130.12, 128.8, 128.70, 128.68, 128.4, 127.91, 127.51, 81.5, 70.7, 61.4, 53.4, 44.7, 42.8, 38.6, 38.5, 28.5, 13.8. HRMS Calcd for $C_{26}H_{26}O_4$: m/z 402.1831, found m/z402.1839.

4.2.7. Pauson-Khand reaction of norbornene 1d with acetylene 4 (Table 2, entry 4). A solution of acetylene 4 (41.5 mg, 0.238 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was added to a flame-dried vial containing Co₂(CO)₈ (89.0 mg, 0.260 mmol) via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. A solution of norbornene 1d (47.7 mg, 0.241 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). Cyclohexylamine (0.11 mL, 0.962 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80°C for 19 h. After quenching with Et₂O (20 mL), the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes=2:3) to give an inseparable mixture of **10d** and **11d** (67.8 mg, 0.169 mmol, 71%, **10d/11d**=63:37 measured by 400 MHz ¹H NMR) as a light yellow semi-solid.

5-(Ethoxycarbonyl)-8-exo-methoxyethoxymethoxy-4-phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3-one (10d) and 5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-9-exo-methoxyethoxymethoxy-4-phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3-one (11d). $R_{\rm f}$ 0.50 (EtOAc/hexanes=2:3); IR (neat, NaCl) 3057 (w), 2965 (m), 2937

(m), 2886 (m), 2819 (w), 2250 (w), 1712 (s), 1495 (w), 1446 (m), 1373 (w), 1233 (m), 1176 (m), 1109 (m), 1043 (s) cm⁻¹; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.39–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 2H), 4.80–4.72 (m, 2H), 4.26–4.16 (m, 2H), 3.94 (d, 0.37H, J=5.9 Hz), 3.87 (d, 0.63H, J=6.6 Hz), 3.74–3.65 (m, 2H), 3.59–3.56 (m, 2H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 2.93 (d, 0.63H, J=5.5 Hz), 2.92 (d, 0.37H, J=5.6 Hz), 2.63 (s, 0.63H), 2.60 (s, 0.63H), 2.53 (s, 0.37H), 2.52 (s, 0.37H), 2.34 (d, 0.37H, *J*=5.2 Hz), 2.33 (d, 0.63H, *J*=5.3 Hz), 1.87 (ddd, 0.63H, J=13.4, 7.0, 2.4 Hz), 1.81 (ddd, 0.37H, J=13.4, 7.1, 2.4 Hz), 1.63 (ddd, 0.37H, J=13.5, 4.5, 2.3 Hz), 1.58 (m, 1H), 1.49–1.45 (m, 1H), 1.53 (t, 1.11H, J=7.1 Hz), 1.17 (m, 0.63H), 1.14 (t, 1.89H, J=7.1 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ major isomer **10d**: 207.7, 166.0, 158.4, 148.4, 130.1, 128.8, 128.7, 127.9, 94.0, 77.7, 67.0, 61.38, 59.04, 49.6, 48.3, 44.5, 39.1, 37.2, 28.3, 24.9, 13.8; minor isomer **11d**: 207.9, 165.8, 157.5, 148.2, 130.1, 128.8, 128.7, 127.9, 94.1, 78.9, 71.7, 61.41, 59.00, 53.3, 44.6, 43.5, 38.6, 33.9, 28.5, 25.6, 13.8. HRMS Calcd for $C_{23}H_{28}O_6$: m/z400.1886, found *m/z* 400.1870.

4.2.8. Pauson-Khand reaction of norbornene 1e with acetylene 4 (Table 2, entry 5). A solution of acetylene 4 (41.7 mg, 0.239 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was added to a flame-dried vial containing Co₂(CO)₈ (89.7 mg, 0.262 mmol) via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. A solution of norbornene 1e (41.5 mg, 0.273 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (1 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane $(2\times0.5 \text{ mL})$. Cyclohexylamine (0.11 mL, 0.962 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80°C for 13 h. After quenching with Et₂O (20 mL), the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes=1:4) to give an inseparable mixture of 10e and 11e (63.1 mg, 0.178 mmol, 74%, **10e/11e**=66:34 measured by 400 MHz ¹H NMR) as a clear, transparent oil.

8-exo-Acetoxy-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-4-phenyltricyclo- $[5.2.1.0^{2.6}]$ dec-4-ene-3-one (10e) and 9-exo-acetoxy-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-4-phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-**3-one** (**11e**). *R*_f 0.28 (EtOAc/hexanes=1:4); IR (neat, NaCl) 3058 (w), 2979 (s), 2941 (m), 2254 (m), 1705 (s), 1495 (w), 1467 (w), 1446 (m), 1375 (s), 1295 (m), 1240 (s), 1174 (s), 1118 (w), 1047 (s), 1016 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.38–7.35 (m, 3H), 7.32–7.29 (m, 2H), 4.84 (d, 0.34H, *J*=6.6 Hz), 4.77 (d, 0.66H, *J*=6.8 Hz), 4.27–4.16 (m, 2H), 3.03 (d, 0.34H, J=5.4 Hz), 2.98 (d, 0.66H, J= 5.5 Hz), 2.63 (br. s, 1.66H), 2.58 (d, 0.34H, J=4.4 Hz), 2.43 (d, 0.66H, J=5.4 Hz), 2.39 (d, 0.34H, J=5.6 Hz), 2.043 (s, 1.02H), 2.038 (s, 1.98H), 2.01 (ddd, 0.66H, J=13.8, 7.1, 2.4 Hz), 1.95 (ddd, 0.34H, J=13.7, 7.1, 2.5 Hz), 1.64 (ddd, 0.66H, J=13.8, 4.4, 2.4 Hz), 1.57 (ddd, 0.34H,J=13.7, 3.7, 3.4 Hz), 1.49 (dm, 0.34H, J=1.3 Hz), 1.46 (dm, 0.66H, J=1.3 Hz), 1.24-1.20 (m, 1H), 1.17 (t, 1.02H, J=7.2 Hz), 1.14 (t, 1.98H, J=7.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ major isomer **10e**: 207.0, 170.45, 165.8, 158.1, 148.50, 129.92, 128.89, 128.7, 127.92, 75.4, 61.4, 49.2, 47.9, 44.5, 39.2, 37.5, 28.7, 21.2, 13.78; minor isomer 11e: 207.5, 170.47, 165.6, 157.1, 148.53, 129.87, 128.92, 128.7, 127.94, 76.4, 61.5, 52.9, 44.3, 43.7, 38.7, 38.6, 28.9, 21.2, 13.81. Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{22}O_5$: C, 71.17; H, 6.26. Found C, 71.44; H, 6.09.

4.2.9. Pauson-Khand reaction of norbornene 1f with acetylene 4 (Table 2, entry 6). A solution of acetylene 4 (41.4 mg, 0.238 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was added to a flame-dried vial containing Co₂(CO)₈ (88.8 mg, 0.260 mmol) via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. A solution of norbornene 1f (29.3 mg, 0.266 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). Cyclohexylamine (0.11 mL, 0.962 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80°C for 18 h. After quenching with Et₂O (20 mL), the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes=2:3) to give an inseparable mixture of 10f and 11f (53.1 mg, 0.170 mmol, 71%, **10f/11f**=50:50 measured by 400 MHz ¹H NMR) as white crystals.

5-(Ethoxycarbonyl)-8-endo-hydroxy-4-phenyltricyclo-[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3-one (10f) and 5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-9-endo-hydroxy-4-phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3one (11f). R_f 0.30 (EtOAc/hexanes=2:3); IR (neat, NaCl) 3401 (br. s), 3058 (w), 2960 (s), 2251 (w), 1699 (s), 1650 (m), 1494 (w), 1469 (w), 1446 (m), 1374 (m), 1345 (m), 1302 (m), 1240 (s), 1174 (s), 1142 (s), 1122 (m), 1077 (m), 1007 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.40–7.29 (m, 5H), 4.47 (m, 0.5H), 4.36 (m, 0.5H), 4.24–4.14 (m, 2H), 3.79 (d, 0.5H, J=5.5 Hz), 3.20 (d, 0.5H, J=5.4 Hz), 3.14 (d, 0.5H, J=5.4 Hz)0.5H, J=5.4 Hz), 2.59 (d, 0.5H, J=6.4 Hz), 2.58 (s, 0.5H), 2.57 (s, 0.5H), 2.52 (d, 0.5H, J=4.4 Hz), 2.47 (d, 0.5H, J=4.5 Hz), 2.19 (ddd, 0.5H, J=14.7, 9.9, 4.9 Hz), 2.09 (ddd, 0.5H, J=14.4, 9.9, 4.6 Hz), 2.04 (d, 0.5H, J=2.8 Hz), 1.99 (d, 0.5H, J=2.5 Hz), 1.92 (m, 0.5H), 1.68 (ddd, 0.5H, J=13.6, 4.1, 3.5 Hz), 1.26-1.21 (m, 1.5H), 1.14(t, 1.5H, J=7.1 Hz), 1.13 (t, 1.5H, J=7.1 Hz), 1.07 (m, 0.5H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 210.3, 208.7, 166.2, 166.1, 159.4, 158.6, 148.8, 148.6, 130.4, 130.2, 128.8, 128.71, 128.69, 128.65, 127.9, 72.0, 71.3, 61.4, 61.3, 53.7, 48.7, 46.4, 45.6, 44.6, 40.4, 40.2, 38.9, 38.7, 38.2, 31.0, 30.7, 13.80, 13.78. HRMS Calcd for C₁₉H₂₀O₄: m/z 312.1362, found m/z 312.1344.

4.2.10. Pauson-Khand reaction of norbornene 1g with acetylene 4 (Table 2, entry 7). A solution of acetylene 4 (41.7 mg, 0.239 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was added to a flame-dried vial containing Co₂(CO)₈ (88.8 mg, 0.260 mmol) via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. A solution of norbornene **1g** (53.1 mg, 0.237 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). Cyclohexylamine (0.11 mL, 0.962 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80°C for 24 h. After quenching with Et₂O (20 mL), the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes=1:9) to give an inseparable mixture of **10g** and **11g** (80.9 mg, 0.190 mmol, 80%, **10g/11g=**55:45

measured by 400 MHz ¹H NMR) as a light orange, transparent liquid.

5-(Ethoxycarbonyl)-8-endo-(tert-butyldimethylsilyoxy)-4-phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3-one (10g) and 5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-9-endo-(tert-butyldimethylsilyoxy)-4phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3-one (11g). R_f 0.37 (EtOAc/hexanes=1:19); IR (neat, NaCl) 3058 (w), 2956 (s), 2931 (s), 2886 (s), 2857 (s), 2254 (w), 2100 (m), 2065 (s), 2035 (s), 1797 (w), 1716 (s), 1495 (w), 1471 (s), 1464 (m), 1389 (w), 1372 (m), 1300 (w), 1251 (s), 1144 (s), 1100 (s) cm⁻¹; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.37–7.30 (m, 5H), 4.35 (ddd, 0.45H, J=9.4, 4.2, 3.1 Hz), 4.26-4.14 (m, 2.55H), 3.81 (d, 0.45H, J=5.4 Hz), 3.19 (d, 0.45H, J=5.4 Hz), 3.10 (d, 0.55H, J=5.4 Hz), 2.54–2.47 (m, 2H), 2.43 (d, 0.55H, J=4.2 Hz), 2.09 (ddd, 0.55H, J=12.9, 9.5, 4.8 Hz), 2.00 (ddd, 0.45H, J=13.1, 9.6, 4.5 Hz), 1.18 (t, 1.35H, J=7.1 Hz), 1.20–1.12 (m, 2H), 1.14 (t, 1.65H, J=7.1 Hz), 1.09 (dt, 0.55H, J=13.0, 2.9 Hz), 1.02 (dt, 0.45H, J=12.9, 2.9 Hz), 0.92 (s, 4.05H), 0.90 (s, 4.95H), 0.10 (s, 1.35H), 0.08 (s, 1.65H), 0.074 (s, 1.35H), 0.066 (s, 1.65H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ major isomer **10g**: 210.3, 166.3, 158.4, 148.8, 130.4, 128.73, 128.68, 127.88, 71.5, 61.25, 53.8, 48.7, 46.0, 40.1, 38.9, 30.6, 25.9, 18.05, 13.8, -4.7, -4.8; minor isomer **11g**: 208.9, 166.0, 159.9, 148.5, 130.5, 128.73, 128.68, 127.95, 72.3, 61.23, 46.6, 44.9, 40.5, 40.4, 39.2, 30.3, 25.8, 18.08, 13.9, -4.7, -4.8. HRMS Calcd for $C_{25}H_{34}O_4Si$: m/z 426.2226, found m/z 426.2221.

4.2.11. Pauson-Khand reaction of norbornene 1h with acetylene 4 (Table 2, entry 8). A solution of acetylene 4 (41.8 mg, 0.240 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was added to a flame-dried vial containing Co₂(CO)₈ (89.6 mg, 0.262 mmol) via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. A solution of norbornene **1h** (46.5 mg, 0.232 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). Cyclohexylamine (0.11 mL, 0.962 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80°C for 18 h. After quenching with Et₂O (20 mL), the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes=1:9) to give an inseparable mixture of 10h and 11h (65.9 mg, 0.164 mmol, 71%, **10h/11h**=58:42 measured by 400 MHz ¹H NMR) as a light orange, transparent liquid.

8-endo-(Benzyloxy)-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-4-phenyltricyclo- [5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3-one (10h) and 9-endo-(benzyloxy)-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-4-phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3-one (11h). $R_{\rm f}$ 0.24 (EtOAc/hexanes=1:9); IR (neat, NaCl) 3062 (w), 3032 (w), 2961 (s), 2868 (m), 2251 (w), 1701 (s), 1496 (m), 1454 (m), 1394 (w), 1373 (m), 1347 (m), 1225 (s), 1175 (s), 1146 (s), 1090 (s) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.43–7.29 (m, 10H), 4.67 (d_{AB}, 0.5H, J=11.4 Hz), 4.63 (d_{AB}, 0.5H, J=11.8 Hz), 4.47 (d_{AB}, 0.5H, J=11.7 Hz), 4.46 (d_{AB}, 0.5H, J=11.8 Hz), 4.30–4.18 (m, 2H), 4.15 (ddd, 0.5H, J=10.5, 4.0, 3.0 Hz), 4.02 (ddd, 0.5H, J=9.6, 3.8, 3.2 Hz), 3.73 (d, 0.5H, J=5.4 Hz), 3.16 (d, 0.5H, J=5.4 Hz), 2.80 (d, 0.5H, J=3.7 Hz), 2.59 (d, 0.5H, J=3.8 Hz), 2.80 (d, 0.5H, J=3.7 Hz), 2.59 (d, 0.5H,

J=5.4 Hz), 2.55 (d, 0.5H, J=4.2 Hz), 2.49 (d, 0.5H, J=4.3 Hz), 2.14 (ddd, 0.5H, J=14.5, 9.8, 4.8 Hz), 2.06 (ddd, 0.5H, J=14.4, 10.1, 4.7 Hz), 1.32–1.15 (m, 3H), 1.17 (t, 1.5H, J=7.2 Hz), 1.15 (t, 1.5H, J=7.2 Hz); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 209.8, 208.8, 166.1, 165.7, 158.7, 158.4, 149.4, 148.7, 138.13, 138.06, 130.4, 130.3, 128.8, 128.7, 128.41, 128.36, 127.9, 127.83, 127.81, 127.7, 127.60, 127.57, 78.9, 78.1, 71.2, 71.1, 61.3, 53.7, 48.6, 46.0, 43.3, 41.6, 40.3, 39.8, 38.4, 36.9, 36.2, 30.6, 30.3, 13.84, 13.79. HRMS Calcd for C₂₆H₂₆O₄: m/z 402.1831, found m/z 402.1838.

4.2.12. Pauson-Khand reaction of norbornene 1i with acetylene 4 (Table 2, entry 9). A solution of acetylene 4 (41.9 mg, 0.241 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was added to a flame-dried vial containing Co₂(CO)₈ (89.3 mg, 0.261 mmol) via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. A solution of norbornene 1i (46.6 mg, 0.235 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). Cyclohexylamine (0.11 mL, 0.962 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80°C for 24 h. After quenching with Et₂O (20 mL), the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes=1:4) to give an inseparable mixture of 10i and 11i (76.2 mg, 0.190 mmol, 81%, 10i/11i=50:50 measured by 400 MHz ¹H NMR) as a white semi-solid.

5-(Ethoxycarbonyl)-8-endo-methoxyethoxymethoxy-4phenyltricyclo $[5.2.1.0^{2.6}]$ dec-4-ene-3-one (10i) and 5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-9-endo-methoxyethoxymethoxy-4phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3-one (11i). R_f 0.15 (EtOAc/hexanes=1:4); IR (neat, NaCl) 3058 (w), 2959 (s), 2938 (s), 2888 (s), 2250 (w), 1794 (w), 1709 (s), 1494 (w), 1446 (m), 1373 (m), 1301 (w), 1241 (s), 1228 (s), 1170 (s), 1105 (s), 1048 (s) cm⁻¹; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.38-7.37 (m, 3H), 7.31-7.28 (m, 2H), 4.82-4.76 (m, 2H), 4.32 (ddd, 0.5H, J=9.8, 4.0, 3.6 Hz), 4.23-4.14 (m, 2.5H),3.76-3.69 (m, 2H), 3.64 (d, 0.5H, J=5.2 Hz), 3.62-3.55 (m, 2H), 3.399 (s, 1.5H), 3.396 (s, 1.5H), 3.11 (d, 0.5H, J=5.4 Hz), 3.05 (d, 0.5H, J=5.5 Hz), 2.699 (s, 0.5H), 2.697 (s, 0.5H), 2.54 (d, 0.5H, *J*=5.6 Hz), 2.52 (d, 0.5H, J=5.2 Hz), 2.47 (d, 0.5H, J=4.5 Hz), 2.15 (ddd, 0.5H, J=14.2, 10.5, 4.8 Hz), 2.05 (ddd, 0.5H, J=14.0, 10.2, 4.6 Hz), 1.66 (d, 0.5H, J=6.9 Hz), 1.25–1.17 (m, 2.5H), 1.130 (t, 1.5H, J=7.1 Hz), 1.128 (t, 1.5H, J=7.1 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 209.6, 208.6, 166.1, 165.9, 159.0, 158.3, 148.8, 148.7, 130.3, 130.2, 128.74, 128.72, 128.66, 127.9, 94.8, 94.4, 76.24, 76.20, 71.8, 71.7, 67.2, 61.30, 61.28, 59.0, 53.7, 48.7, 46.1, 44.3, 42.2, 40.6, 39.8, 38.3, 36.7, 36.0, 30.5, 30.2, 13.8. HRMS Calcd for $C_{23}H_{28}O_6$: m/z 400.1886, found m/z 400.1876.

4.2.13. Pauson–Khand reaction of norbornene 1j with acetylene 4 (Table 2, entry 10). A solution of acetylene 4 (42.0 mg, 0.241 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was added to a flame-dried vial containing Co₂(CO)₈ (89.3 mg, 0.261 mmol) via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. A solution of norbornene 1j

(34.7 mg, 0.228 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). Cyclohexylamine (0.11 mL, 0.962 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80°C for 24 h. After quenching with Et₂O (20 mL), the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes=1:4) to give an inseparable mixture of 10j and 11j (59.3 mg, 0.167 mmol, 73%, 10j/11j=50:50 measured by 400 MHz ¹H NMR) as a clear, transparent liquid.

8-endo-Acetoxy-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-4-phenyltricyclo- $[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]$ dec-4-ene-3-one (10j) and 9-endo-acetoxy-5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-4-phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-**3-one** (11j). R_f 0.23 (EtOAc/hexanes=1:4); IR (neat, NaCl) 3058 (w), 2972 (s), 2255 (w), 1796 (w), 1716 (s), 1494 (w), 1446 (m), 1374 (s), 1301 (m), 1244 (s), 1176 (s), 1154 (s), 1048 (m), 1023 (m) cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.39–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.32–7.30 (m, 2H), 5.14 (ddd, 0.5H, J=10.1, 4.2, 3.6 Hz), 5.07 (ddd, 0.5H, J=10.0, 4.1, 3.7 Hz), 4.27-4.14 (m, 2H), 3.59 (d, 0.5H, J=5.4 Hz), 3.15 (d, 0.5H, J=5.5 Hz), 3.02 (d, 0.5H, J=5.5 Hz), 2.80 (d, 0.5H, J=4.4 Hz), 2.77 (d, 0.5H, J=4.2 Hz), 2.57 (d, 0.5H, J=6.2 Hz), 2.56 (d, 0.5H, J=6.1 Hz), 2.53 (d, 0.5H, J=4.5 Hz), 2.29 (ddd, 0.5H, J=13.8, 10.0, 4.7 Hz), 2.18 (ddd, 0.5H, J=13.9, 10.1, 4.6 Hz), 2.11 (s, 1.5H), 2.07 (s, 1.5H), 1.63 (m, 0.5H), 1.26-1.19 (m, 2.5H), 1.16 (t, 1.5H, J=7.0 Hz), 1.14 (t, 1.5H, J=7.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 208.8, 208.1, 170.70, 170.67, 165.9, 165.6, 158.3, 158.2, 148.9, 148.8, 130.1, 128.9, 128.7, 127.9, 74.3, 73.3, 61.40, 61.39, 53.3, 48.4, 46.2, 43.6, 42.2, 40.8, 39.7, 38.5, 36.9, 35.9, 30.6, 30.3, 21.1, 13.79, 13.76. HRMS Calcd for C₂₁H₂₂O₅: m/z 354.1467, found m/z 354.1466.

4.2.14. Pauson–Khand reaction of norbornene 1k with acetylene 4 (Table 2, entry 11). A solution of acetylene 4 (54.0 mg, 0.310 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was added to a flame-dried vial containing Co₂(CO)₈ (108 mg, 0.316 mmol) via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. A solution of norbornene 1k (33.5 mg, 0.310 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (0.5 mL) was then added to the reaction mixture via a cannula and rinsed with 1,2-dichloroethane (2×0.25 mL). Cyclohexylamine (0.11 mL, 0.962 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at 80°C for 21 h. After quenching with Et₂O (20 mL), the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent was removed by rotary evaporation. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexanes=2:3) to give an inseparable mixture of 10k and 11k (41.7 mg, 0.134 mmol, 43%, **10k/11k**=74:26 measured by 400 MHz ¹H NMR) as a light yellow semi-solid.

5-(Ethoxycarbonyl)-4-phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3,8-dione (10k) and 5-(ethoxycarbonyl)-4-phenyltricyclo[5.2.1.0^{2,6}]dec-4-ene-3,9-dione (11k). $R_{\rm f}$ 0.52 (EtOAc/hexanes=2:3); IR (neat, NaCl) 3058 (m), 2982 (s), 2937 (s), 2254 (w), 1716 (s), 1494 (w), 1467 (w), 1446 (m), 1408 (w), 1374 (m), 1235 (s), 1163 (s), 1105 (m), 1077 (w), 1020 (m) cm⁻¹; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 7.40–7.37 (m, 3H), 73.5–7.30 (m, 2H), 4.29–4.17 (m, 2H), 3.36 (d, 0.26H, J=5.5 Hz), 3.31 (d,

0.74H, J=5.5 Hz), 2.99 (d, 0.26H, J=3.4 Hz), 2.96 (d, 0.74H, J=3.4 Hz), 2.94 (s, 0.74H), 2.92 (s, 0.26H), 2.73 (dd, 0.74H, J=5.5, 0.7 Hz), 2.68 (d, 0.26H, J=5.4 Hz), 2.29 (d_{AB}d, 0.74H, J=18.0, 4.6 Hz), 2.22 (d_{AB}d, 0.26H, J=18.0, 4.6 Hz), 2.08 (d_{AB}d, 0.74H, J=18.0, 3.6 Hz), 2.01 (d_{AB}d, 0.26H, J=17.8, 4.1 Hz), 1.67–1.59 (m, 2H), 1.19 (t, 0.78H, J=7.1 Hz), 1.16 (t, 2.22H, J=7.1 Hz); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ major isomer **10k**: 213.0, 205.2, 165.5, 157.5, 149.5, 129.6, 129.2, 128.71, 128.0, 61.7, 51.9, 47.5, 47.1, 43.6, 37.3, 30.6, 13.79; minor isomer **11k**: 214.1, 205.2, 165.5, 155.6, 148.8, 129.5, 129.3, 128.74, 128.1, 61.8, 52.3, 51.4, 43.14, 43.05, 38.1, 30.8, 13.83. HRMS Calcd for C₁₉H₁₈O₄: m/z 310.1205, found m/z 310.1207.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada, Boehringer Ingelheim (Canada) Ltd. and the University of Guelph for the generous financial support of our program. Peter Mayo thanks NSERC for postgraduate scholarships (PGS A and PGS B). Ms Valerie Robertson is thanked for NMR experiments and discussion of NMR data. Professor John D. Goddard and Dr Galina Orlova are thanked for theoretical calculations.

References

- (a) Gung, B. W. *Tetrahedron* 1996, 52, 5263. (b) Fraser, R. R.; Faibish, N. C.; Kong, F.; Bednarski, J. *J. Org. Chem.* 1997, 62, 6167.
- For recent reviews, see: (a) Cieplak, A. S. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1265. (b) Ohwada, T. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1337.
 (c) Mehta, G.; Chandrasekhar, J. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1437.
- (a) Arjona, O.; de la Pradilla, R. F.; Plumet, J.; Viso, A. *Tetrahedron* 1989, 45, 4565.
 (b) Arjona, O.; de la Pradilla, R. F.; Pita-Romero, I.; Plumet, J.; Viso, A. *Tetrahedron* 1990, 46, 8199.
- Arjona, O.; de la Pradilla, R. F.; Garcia, L.; Mallo, A.; Plumet, J. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1989, 1315.
- 5. Arjona, O.; Manzano, C.; Plumet, J. *Heterocycles* **1993**, *35*, 63 (and references cited therein).
- 6. Black, K. A.; Vogel, P. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5341.
- Arjona, O.; Csákÿ, A. G.; Murcia, M. C.; Plumet, J. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 7338.
- Arjona, O.; Csákÿ, A. G.; Murcia, M. C.; Plumet, J. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 9739.
- (a) Mehta, G.; Khan, F. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 6140.
 (b) Mehta, G.; Khan, F. A.; Ganguly, B.; Chandrasekhar, J. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1992, 1711. (c) Ganguly, B.; Chandrasekhar, J.; Khan, F. A.; Mehta, G. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1734. (d) Mehta, G.; Khan, F. A.; Ganguly, B.; Chandrasekhar, J. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1994, 2275.
 (e) Mehta, G.; Khan, F. A.; Adcock, W. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1995, 2189. (f) Mehta, G.; Khan, F. A.; Mohal, N.; Narayan, I. N.; Kalyanaraman, P.; Chandrasekhar, J. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1996, 2665. (g) Mehta, G.; Ravikrishna, C.; Kalyanaraman, P.; Chandrasekhar, J. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 1895.
- 10. Mehta, G.; Mohal, N. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 505.

- 11. Mehta, G.; Khan, F. A. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1993, 1727.
- 12. Mehta, G.; Gunasekaran, G. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 1953.
- (a) Mehta, G.; Khan, F. A. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 1991, 18. (b) Mehta, G.; Khan, F. A.; Gadre, S. R.; Shirsat, R. N.; Ganguly, B.; Chandrasekhar, J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1994, *33*, 1390.
- Brands, K. M. J.; Kende, A. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 5887.
- 15. Arjona, O.; de la Pradilla, R. F.; Plumet, J.; Viso, A. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 59, 6227.
- Mayo, P.; Poirier, M.; Rainey, J.; Tam, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, 40, 7727.
- 17. Jordan, R. W.; Tam, W. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 3031.
- MacWhorter, S. E.; Sampath, V.; Olmstead, M. M.; Schore, N. E. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 203.
- Lautens, M.; Tam, W.; Edwards, L. E. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 2143.
- For reviews on Pauson–Khand reactions, see: (a) Pauson, P. L. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 5855. (b) Schore, N. E. Chem. Rev. 1988, 88, 1081. (c) Schore, N. E. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Trost, B. M., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991; Vol. 5, p. 1037. (d) Geis, O.; Schmalz, H.-G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1998, 37, 911. (e) Ingate, S. T.; Marco-Contelles, J. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 1998, 30, 121.
- (a) Jeong, N.; Chung, Y. K.; Lee, B. Y.; Yoo, S-E. Synlett 1991, 204. (b) Gordon, A. R.; Johnstone, C.; Kerr, W. J. Synlett 1995, 1083.
- Sugihara, T.; Yamada, M.; Ban, H.; Yamaguchi, M.; Kaneko,
 C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 2801.
- Sugihara, T.; Yamada, M.; Yamaguchi, M.; Nishizawa, M. Synlett 1999, 771.
- Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Montgomery, J. A.; Stratmann, R. E.; Burant, J. C.; Dapprich, S.; Millam, J. M.; Daniels, A. D.; Kudin, K. N.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Cammi, R.; Mennucci, B.; Pomelli, C.; Adamo, C.; Clifford, S.; Ochterski, J.; Petersson, G. A.; Ayala, P. Y.; Cui, Q.; Morokuma, K.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Ortiz, J. V.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Gomperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Gonzalez, C.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B. G.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres,

- J. L.; Head-Gordon, M.; Replogle, E. S.; Pople, J. A. GAUSSIAN 98, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 1998.
- 25. The D95V basis set were used to predict a variety of conformers of 2-norbornenes and the charges were obtained from a natural population analysis, see: (a) Leininger, T.; Nicklass, A.; Stoll, H.; Dolg, M.; Schwerdtfeger, P. J. Chem. Phys. 1996, 105, 1052. (b) Dunning, Jr., T. H.; Hay, P. J. Modern Theoretical Chemistry, Schaefer III, H. F., Ed.; Plenum: New York, 1976; Vol. 3, p. 1. (c) Reed, A. E.; Weinstock, R. B.; Weinhold, F. J. Chem. Phys. 1985, 83, 735. (d) Reed, A. E.; Weinhold, F.; Curtiss, L. A.; Pochatko, D. J. Chem. Phys. 1986, 84, 5687.
- Ng, F.; Chiu, P.; Danishefsky, S. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 767
- 27. The *exo* and *endo* cycloadducts **10b** and **11b** were modeled for energy minimization at PM3 level (CS Chem 3D Pro Version 3.5.1) using MOPAC for the assessment of the dihedral angles between H^b/H^c and H^d/H^e (Fig. 1). These dihedral angles were then compared to the Karplus curve for the determination of the theoretical coupling constants.
- Similar method has been used for the assignment of *exo* and *endo* stereochemistry of bicyclic alkanes, see: (a) Flautt, T. J.; Erman, W. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1963, 85, 3212.
 (b) Mazzocchi, P. H.; Stahly, B.; Dodd, J.; Rondan, N. G.; Domelsmith, L. N.; Rozeboom, M. D.; Caramella, P.; Houk, K. N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1980, 102, 6482. (c) Yip, C.; Handerson, S.; Jordan, R.; Tam, W. *Org. Lett.* 1999, 1, 791. (d) Tranmer, G. K.; Keech, P.; Tam, W. *Chem. Commun.* 2000, 863. (e) Yip, C.; Handerson, S.; Tranmer, G. K.; Tam, W. *J. Org. Chem.* 2001, 66, 276.
- HCOSY: ¹H-¹H Correlated Spectroscopy; HSQC: Heteronuclear Single Quantum Coherence; HMBC: Heteronuclear Multiple Bond Correlation; see:Crews, P.; Rodriguez, J.; Jaspars, M. *Organic Structure Analysis*; Oxford University: Oxford, 1998.
- GOESY: Gradient enhanced nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy, see: (a) Stonehouse, J.; Adell, P.; Keeler, J.; Shaka, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 6037. (b) Stott, K.; Stonehouse, J.; Keeler, J.; Hwang, T.-L.; Shaka, A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 4199. (c) Dixon, A. M.; Widmalm, G.; Bull, T. E. J. Magn. Reson. 2000, 147, 266.
- 31. Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923.
- Yamamoto, H.; Maruoka, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6133.